

**MODELLING LAND-USE/COVER CHANGE
AND WILDFIRE REGIMES IN A
MEDITERRANEAN LANDSCAPE**

James D.A. Millington

March 2007

Department of Geography
King's College, London

Submitted in total fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK

This is to certify that:

- (i) the thesis comprises only my original work except where otherwise indicated,
- (ii) due acknowledgment has been made in the text to all other material used,
- (iii) the thesis is less than 100,000 words in length, exclusive of tables, figures, references and appendices

James D.A. Millington

ABSTRACT

This interdisciplinary thesis examines the potential impacts of human land-use/cover change upon wildfire regimes in a Mediterranean landscape using empirical and simulation models that consider both social and ecological processes and phenomena. Such an examination is pertinent given contemporary agricultural land-use decline in some areas of the northern Mediterranean Basin due to social and economic trends, and the ecological uncertainties in the consequent feedbacks between landscape-level patterns and processes of vegetation- and wildfire-dynamics.

The shortcomings of empirical modelling of these processes are highlighted, leading to the development of an integrated socio-ecological simulation model (SESM). A grid-based landscape fire succession model is integrated with an agent-based model of agricultural land-use decision-making. The agent-based component considers non-economic alongside economic influences on actors' land-use decision-making. The explicit representation of human influence on wildfire frequency and ignition in the model is a novel approach and highlights biases in the areas of land-covers burned according to ignition cause. Model results suggest if agricultural change (i.e. abandonment) continues as it has recently, the risk of large wildfires will increase and greater total area will be burned.

The epistemological problems of representation encountered when attempting to simulate 'open', middle numbered systems – as is the case for many 'real world' geographical and ecological systems – are discussed. Consequently, and in light of recent calls for increased engagement between science and the public, a shift in emphasis is suggested for SESMs away from establishing the truth of a model's structure via the mimetic accuracy of its results and toward ensuring trust in a model's results via practical adequacy. A 'stakeholder model evaluation' exercise is undertaken to examine this contention and to evaluate, with the intent of improving, the SESM developed in this thesis. A narrative approach is then adopted to reflect on what has been learnt.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis would not have been possible without the help, advice and support of many people, to all of whom I am most grateful and indebted. I must start with my original supervisor George Perry who encouraged me to apply for the ESRC/NERC Interdisciplinary Studentship that funded this research. Without George's enthusiastic and often ebullient supervision and example I would not have embarked along this most interesting and inspiring path. Thanks to George for making research and fieldwork fun and for his continuing support and advice after returning to New Zealand. This research and thesis would also not have been possible but for the support, goodwill and hard work of Raul Romero Calcerrada. I owe a large debt of gratitude to Raul for the generous access to his data and accumulated knowledge on SPA 56, his driving and translations skills as we toured the Spanish landscape making interviews, and his hospitality both at Universidad Rey Juan Carlos and in his home. Thanks to everyone else who aided my visits to Madrid, notably Javier. These trips to Spain were in part funded by a University of London Central Research Fund grant. More recently, my supervisors David Demeritt and John Wainwright have offered me invaluable advice, support and direction when I wasn't sure where my research was going or how to clarify my arguments. Thanks also for applying the lopping shears to my tortuous and verbose sentences, and to John for his hospitality in Sheffield.

I'm very grateful to everyone that welcomed me to SGES at the University of Auckland, and made my visit so interesting and enjoyable. Notably, thanks to David O'Sullivan for his advice and thoughts over coffee and to Nicky Perry for putting me up in her home when I needed shelter from the All Blacks fans. Closer to home, I must thank Bruce Malamud for the research opportunities he opened up for me outside, but most complementary to, my thesis and for offering his advice whenever it was requested. At King's thanks also go to Shatish Kundaiker for his technical support and the thesis-saving remote-desktop; to Margaret, Rob, Alison and Isobel for all their King's know-how; and to the usual suspects for humouring my rants in the pub on Tuesday nights – Andreas, Ben, Chris, Gareth, Isobel, Helen, Nick, Pete, and others...

In the later stages of the thesis I moved out of London and returned to my family home. Thanks therefore to Chris, Mark, Laura, Jamie and Helen who put me up when I needed

to be in London to work. Thanks to Olivia for all the Giraffes. Recently also to Erin for listening to my late-night rants on the phone and inspiring me to persevere when the pub, King's, London and everything else felt so far away. Last, but not least, thanks to Mum, Dad, Michael and Mark and for their love, support and for putting up with me over these last nine months at home when I needed to get it all done.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Table of Contents</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xiii</i>
CHAPTER ONE – INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Mediterranean Landscapes	1
1.3 Landscape Modelling	3
1.4 Thesis Aims	5
1.5 Thesis Structure	5
CHAPTER TWO – SPANISH LANDSCAPES AND CHANGE	
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Ecological Disturbance	9
2.3 Human Presence	11
2.3.1 Introduction	11
2.3.2 Traditional Land-Use in Spain	12
2.3.3 Traditional Spanish Land Tenure	14
2.3.4 Contemporary Spanish LUCC	15
2.3.5 European Agricultural Policy	16
2.3.6 Summary	18
2.4 Potential Consequences of LUCC in Spain	18
2.4.1 Introduction	18
2.4.2 Desertification	18
2.4.3 Biodiversity	19
2.4.4 Landscape Structure and Natural Hazard Risk	20
2.4.5 Summary	22
2.5 EU Special Protection Area 56	22
2.5.1 Introduction	22
2.5.2 Habitats	23
2.5.3 Endangered Species	24
2.5.4 Human Activity	25
2.5.5 Data Available for SPA 56	28
2.5.5.1 Introduction	28
2.5.5.2 Land-Cover Maps	28
2.5.5.3 Climatic Variables	29
2.5.5.4 Topographic Variables	30
2.5.5.5 Land Capability	31
2.5.5.6 Spatial Landscape Data	32
2.5.5.7 Social Data Variables	33
2.5.5 Summary	33
2.6 Summary	33
CHAPTER THREE – LUCC MODELLING	
3.1 Introduction	36
3.2 Empirical Modelling	37

	Page
3.2.1 Transition-Based Models	38
3.2.2 Regression-Based Models	39
3.3 Empirical Modelling of SPA	40
3.3.1 Introduction	40
3.3.2 Methodology	40
3.3.3 Results	44
3.3.4 Discussion	45
3.3.5 Summary	49
3.4 Simulation Modelling	49
3.4.1 Introduction	49
3.4.2 Integrated Ecological-Economic Simulation Models	51
3.4.3 Summary	54
3.5 Model Approach and Validation	55
3.5.1 Introduction	55
3.5.2 Model Approach	55
3.5.3 Model Validation	56
3.5.4 Summary	57
3.6 Summary	58
CHAPTER FOUR – LANDSCAPE FIRE SUCCESSION MODEL	
4.1 Introduction	59
4.2 Spatial Ecological Modelling of Vegetation-Dynamics	59
4.2.1 Introduction	59
4.2.2 Individual-Based Models	60
4.2.3 Spatially-Explicit Landscape Models	61
4.2.4 Modelling Tradeoffs in Mediterranean-type Ecosystems	62
4.2.5 Summary	63
4.3 Modelling Mediterranean Basin Vegetation-Dynamics	64
4.3.1 Introduction	64
4.3.2 Conceptual Models	64
4.3.3 Spatial Simulation Models	66
4.3.4 Summary	68
4.4 Landscape Fire Succession Model I: Vegetation-Dynamics	69
4.4.1 Introduction	69
4.4.2 Environmental Conditions	74
4.4.2.1 Climatic Variability and Change	74
4.4.2.2 Water Availability	75
4.4.2.3 Light Availability	76
4.4.3 Succession Attributes	76
4.4.3.1 Successional Pathway	76
4.4.3.2 Seed Sources	78
4.4.4 Modelling State Transitions	79
4.4.5 Summary	82
4.5 Landscape Fire Succession Model II: Wildfire Regime	84
4.5.1 Introduction	84
4.5.2 Fire Ignition	88
4.5.2.1 Frequency	88
4.5.2.2 Spatial Location	91
4.5.3 Fire Spread	92
4.5.4 Summary	97
4.6 Sensitivity Analysis	97
4.6.1 Introduction	97

4.6.2 Parameter Testing	98
4.6.3 Summary	101
4.7 Summary	101
CHAPTER FIVE – AGENT-BASED MODEL OF LAND-USE/COVER CHANGE	
5.1 Introduction	103
5.2 Agricultural Location Theory	104
5.3 Agent-Based Modelling	107
5.3.1 Introduction	107
5.3.2 Recent Agent-Based Modelling of Human-Environment Interactions	108
5.3.3 Current ABM/MAS Issues	110
5.3.3.1 <i>Introduction</i>	110
5.3.3.2 <i>Model Data</i>	110
5.3.3.3 <i>Agent Representation</i>	111
5.3.3.4 <i>Model Validation</i>	113
5.3.3.5 <i>Summary</i>	114
5.3.4 Implications for Modelling Mediterranean Human-Environment Interactions	114
5.3.5 Summary	116
5.4 ABM/LUCC Structure	117
5.4.1 Introduction	117
5.4.2 Rationale for Farmer Types	117
5.4.3 Agent Attributes	121
5.4.3.1 <i>Commercial Agents</i>	121
5.4.3.1 <i>Traditional Agents</i>	127
5.4.4 Integration with Landscape Fire Succession Model	129
5.4.5 Summary	130
5.5 Sensitivity Analysis and Testing	131
5.5.1 Introduction	131
5.5.2 Universal Parameters	132
5.5.3 Land Tenure and Land-Cover	133
5.5.4 Agent Properties	138
5.5.5 Markets and Landscape Profitability	141
5.5.6 Summary	141
5.6 Summary	142
CHAPTER SIX – SOCIO-ECOLOGICAL SIMULATION MODEL: INTERACTION BETWEEN LUCC AND WILDFIRE	
6.1 Introduction	144
6.2 Characterising Wildfire Regimes	146
6.3 Human Activity, LUCC and Wildfire Regimes	148
6.3.1 Human-Activity Scenarios	148
6.3.2 Human-Related Wildfire-Behaviour Parameters	156
6.3.3 Ignition Cause	157
6.4 Climate Change and Wildfire Regimes	161
6.5 Landscape Fire Succession Model Dynamics	163
6.5.1 Total Land-Cover Flammability	163
6.5.2 Range of Land-Cover Flammability	165
6.5.3 Land-Cover Configuration	169
6.6 Discussion	170

6.6.1 Human Activity and Wildfire	171
6.6.2 Wildfire Frequency-Area Scaling	174
6.7 Summary	176
CHAPTER SEVEN – VALIDATING SOCIO-ECOLOGICAL SIMULATION MODELS	
7.1 Introduction	178
7.2 Validating Models of Open Systems	179
7.2.1 The Nature of ‘Open’ Systems	180
7.2.2 Epistemological Problems Presented by a Critical Realist Ontology	181
7.2.3 A Potential Relativist Response	184
7.3 Post-Normal Science and Public Engagement	186
7.3.1 Evaluation Criteria for Simulation Modelling in the ‘Risk Society’	188
7.3.2 Stakeholder Participation and Expertise	191
7.4 Summary	195
CHAPTER EIGHT – STAKEHOLDER MODEL EVALUATION	
8.1 Introduction	197
8.2 Stakeholder Engagement in the Modelling Process	197
8.3 Stakeholder Assessment of SPASIMv1	200
8.3.1 Introduction	200
8.3.2 Drivers of Change	201
8.3.3 Model Results	205
8.3.4 Model Assumptions	208
8.3.5 Model Modification	212
8.3.6 Changes in Understanding	215
8.3.7 Summary	216
8.4 Discussion	216
8.4.1 Model Validation Criteria	216
8.4.2 Engaging Non-Modellers with Models	219
8.5 Summary	222
CHAPTER NINE – DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	
9.1 Introduction	224
9.2 Narrative Approaches to Reflect on the Modelling Process	224
9.3 SPASIMv1 Modelling Narrative	226
9.3.1 Research Proposal	226
9.3.2 Initial Exploratory Research	227
9.3.3 Model Conceptualisation and Initial Construction	230
9.3.4 Model Construction, Testing and Sensitivity Analysis	232
9.3.5 Stakeholder Evaluation and Model Use	236
9.3.6 Self-Reflection	241
9.4 Summary	242
REFERENCES	245

	Page
APPENDICES	
I Look-up table of pixel physical attributes to define DD and TD in the rule-based community-level model of Mediterranean vegetation-dynamics	260
II Curve numbers used in Equation 4.3	273
III Stakeholder Model Evaluation Interview Translations	274
IV Enclosed Compact Disc	277

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
CHAPTER TWO	
2.1 <i>Pinus</i> with <i>Quercus</i> understory	9
2.2 Example <i>dehesa</i> found in SPA 56	13
2.3 Land abandonment in SPA 56	16
2.4 Vegetation species richness over time following fire	20
2.5 Recent trends in Spanish wildfire occurrence	21
2.6 Location of SPA 56	23
2.7 Predominant vegetation in SPA 56	24
2.8 Evidence of socio-economic changes in SPA 56	26
2.9 Observed land-cover changes in SPA 56 between 1984-99	27
2.10 SPA 56 land-cover maps	29
2.11 SPA 56 climate maps	30
2.12 SPA 56 topographical maps	31
2.13 SPA 56 Land Capability map	32
2.14 Example SPA 56 maps of social variables	33
CHAPTER THREE	
3.1 Multinomial model output maps	46
3.2 Example of the influence of municipality-aggregated data on model output	47
CHAPTER FOUR	
4.1 Schematic diagram of the LFSM	71
4.2 Succession pathways used in the LFSM	81
4.3 Example of the traditional conceptualisation of succession in Mediterranean-type vegetation	82
4.4 Spatial representation of landscape change from the LFSM	83
4.5 Time-series of landscape land-cover composition from the LFSM	84
4.6 Illustration of the Forest Fire Cellular Automata Model	88
4.7 Schematic diagram of the cellular automata-based fire behaviour model	93
4.8 Schematic diagram of fire shells as used in the fire-behaviour model	94
4.9 Fire ignition weights as a function of wind speed and direction	96
CHAPTER FIVE	
5.1 von Thünen's classical model of agricultural location	105
5.2 The fragmented and heterogeneous nature of SPA 56	115
5.3 Procedure of the agent-based of land-use/cover change	118
5.4 Procedure of the integrated socio-ecological simulation model	129
5.5 Land-cover and use time-series for the baseline 'business as usual' model scenario	130
5.6 Examples of random maps generated with varying percolation probability parameter p	134
5.7 Landscape land-cover proportions and mean land-cover patch area for random land-tenure maps	135
5.8 Time-series of agent perspective and mean pixel profit	140

	Page
5.9 Relationship between threshold mean pixel profit values and ratio of farmer types	140
 CHAPTER SIX	
6.1 Example LFSM wildfire frequency-area distribution	147
6.2 Relationship between mean total burned area and agricultural land-cover for scenarios of human activity	149
6.3 Mean land-cover landscape proportions for scenarios of human activity	150
6.4 Maps of landscape land-cover in the year 2026 for human-activity scenarios	151
6.5 Time-series of mean total landscape flammability for human-activity scenarios	152
6.6 Time-series of mean number of patches for human-activity scenarios	153
6.7 Time-series of mean number of patches by land-cover for scenario M2	154
6.8 Time-series of landscape fractal dimension for human-activity scenarios	154
6.9 Time-series of landscape contagion for human-activity scenarios	155
6.10 Mean R_i for lightning, human-caused and randomly located fires	160
6.11 Mean R_b for lightning, human-caused and randomly located fires	160
6.12 Land-cover landscape proportions for scenarios of climate change	162
6.13 Relationship between β and total land-cover flammability	164
6.14 Land-cover landscape proportions for varying total land-cover flammability	165
6.15 Land-cover landscape proportions for varying range of land-cover flammability	167
6.16 Land-cover landscape proportions for varying initial land-cover configurations	170
 CHAPTER SEVEN	
7.1 Public participation in the scientific research process	193
 CHAPTER EIGHT	
8.1 Public participation in the socio-ecological modelling process	198
8.2 Sketch maps of two interviewees' expectations of LUCC in Villa del Prado by 2026	205
8.3 Villa del Prado Land-cover for 2026 under scenario M1	206

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
CHAPTER ONE	
1.1 Structure of Thesis	6
CHAPTER THREE	
3.1 Predictor variables (maps) used for empirical modelling	43
3.2 Model definitions for empirical models of similar variable types	43
3.3 Empirical models' prediction accuracy for individual pixel locations	44
CHAPTER FOUR	
4.1 Land-cover classes represented in the model	70
4.2 Soil-moisture class definitions	76
4.3 Maturity age for three main forest land-cover types	78
4.4 Rules to establish initial age of three main forest land-cover types	79
4.5 Human fire ignition probabilities	92
4.6 Slope classes and fire ignition weights	95
4.7 Moisture classes and fire ignition weights	96
4.8 Parameter values used in LFSM sensitivity analyses	99
4.9 LFSM sensitivity analysis results for land-cover composition	100
CHAPTER FIVE	
5.1 Summary comparison of 'traditional' and 'commercial' agents' attributes	120
5.2 Parameters for baseline model configuration	123
5.3 Parameter values for ABM/LUCC testing	132
5.4 ABM/LUCC sensitivity analysis and testing results for land-cover composition	133
5.5 Agricultural landscape structure characteristics for land-tenure maps	136
5.6 Spatial metrics of land-cover pattern for land-tenure scenarios	137
5.7 Spatial metrics of land-cover pattern for land-cover scenarios	138
5.8 Agent types for <i>personal_choice</i> and agent perspective scenarios	139
CHAPTER SIX	
6.1 Model scenarios used to examine influence of human activity on land-cover	145
6.2 Wildfire summary statistics for scenarios of human activity	149
6.3 Mean values of indices of land-cover configuration for different scenarios of human activity	152
6.4 Wildfire statistics for varying 'recent burned years' values	156
6.5 Wildfire statistics for 'maximum fire shell' scenarios	157
6.6 Wildfire statistics as a function of cause for human activity scenarios	158
6.7 Wildfire statistics as a function of cause for human activity scenarios with modified fire ignition	158
6.8 Climate change scenarios	161
6.9 Wildfire statistics for scenarios of climate change	162

	Page
6.10 Land-cover flammability probabilities used to examine effect of total landscape flammability on model wildfire regime	163
6.11 Wildfire statistics for varying total land-cover flammability	164
6.12 Land-cover flammability probabilities used to examine effect of range of flammability probabilities on model wildfire regime	166
6.13 Wildfire statistics for varying range of land-cover flammability	166
6.14 Modified land-cover flammability probabilities used to examine the effect of maximum flammability probability on wildfire regime	168
6.15 Wildfire statistics for modified land-cover flammability probabilities	168
6.16 Wildfire statistics for varying initial landscape configuration	169
 CHAPTER SEVEN	
7.1 Sources of relativistic operator dependencies	185
 CHAPTER EIGHT	
8.1 Comparison of profitability and costs of land-use types	208
8.2 Model assumptions regarding agents' age	208